Q1'23 Gaming Deals Report

## Cooling Off After Years of Blistering Growth



TaylorWessing MGVC WHITE LABEL PR

# **Executive Summary**

investgame

3 Executive Summary

### Highlights:

getting back to regular levels of deal activity

Private	Q1'20 Investments		Q1'21		Q1'22		Q1'23
	\$0.6B 85 Deals	↑276%	\$2.4B 147 Deals	1438%	\$3.3B 180 Deals	√71%	\$1.0B 141 Deals
M&A							
	\$2.4B 50 Deals	↑495%	\$14.6B 81 Deals	↓22%	\$11.4B 88 Deals	√94%	\$0.6B 43 Deals
Public	Offerings						
	\$0.3B	↑2952%	\$8.7B 37 Deals	↓92%	\$0.7B 9 Deals	↓20%	\$0.5B 9 Deals

WHITE LABEL PR

MEVE

**TaylorWessing** 

i investgame

#### 4 Executive Summary

## Private Investments: remain strong with a slight pullback from previous record highs

- Investment activity remains strong, showing increase in the deal count vs. the previous 3 months, and halting the negative trend. This growth was mainly driven by Early-stage and Corporate deals (+30% growth vs. Q3'22).
- The total amount of raised capital remains stagnant, as we see very few Late-stage deals, while most Corporate investments do not disclose amounts. The largest Late-stage deal was VSPO by Savvy Games Group (\$265m), which accounted for 65% of the total value.
- The Early-stage market continues to be robust and is expected to be a significant driving force behind the emergence of new unicorns in the next 3–5 years.

Target	Deal Type	Deal Size, \$m
<b>VS20</b>	Series C	265
BELIEVER	Series A	55
CCP	Series B+	40

#### **Corporate & VC Investments Activity**



### hit its lowest point with strong rebound in the coming quarter

— M&A activity in Q1'23 showed a significant drop in the total disclosed deal value, and saw ~2x less closed deals vs. the previous years' average (Q1'21/Q1'22).

— Despite a harsh start of the year, Q2'23 has already seen two significant deal announcements: Scopely acquisition by Savvy Games Group for \$4.9B, and Rovio acquisition by SEGA for \$0.8B.

Target	Deal Type	Deal Size, \$m
MagicLeap	Control	450.0
III ByteDance	Minority	100.0
DIGITAL MEDIA MANAGEMENT	Control	67.5

#### Closed M&As Activity



## Public Offerings: remain stalled, with no signs of improvement so far

 Public Offerings activity continue to struggle, due to the current situation on public markets and high interest rates.

— In the coming quarters the public activity will probably remain low,

largely depending on Q1'23 earnings season results. Nevertheless, based on Take-Two Interactive's Public Offering in the amount of \$1B, we still may see some notable offerings by big strategic players.

Target	Deal Type	Deal Size, \$m		
bili bili	PIPE	408.9		
DON'T	PIPE	49.0		
Voodoo	Fixed Income	34.2		

#### **Public Offerings Activity**



# Gaming

Deals with Targets represented by Video Game Publishers and/or Developers



8 Gaming

Highlights: gaming deal activity saw a steep decline

Q1'20 Private Investments		Q1'21		Q1'22		Q1'23
	↑119%	\$1.1B 83 Deals	↑10%	\$1.2B 55 Deals	↓82%	\$0.2B 44 Deals
M&As						
\$2.0B	↑625%	\$14.5B 55 Deals	√72%	\$4.0B 54 Deals	↓99%	\$0.1B 27 Deals
Public Offerings						
\$0.2B	↑3212%	\$5.6B 23 Deals	√95%	\$0.3B 5 Deals	↓52%	\$0.1B 6 Deals

WHITE LABEL PR

MEVC

**TaylorWessing** 

investgame

#### 9 Gaming

## Early-stage Investments: lots of fuel for future unicorns

— Early-stage investment has displayed a rebound following a notable decline in Q2'22 and continuously showing an increase in the deal count. However, we should highlight that the average size of deal size has been decreased.

— The emergence of new gaming unicorns in the future may be largely driven by the current positive trend in the increasing investment activity from the Early-stage VCs.

Target	Deal Type	Deal Size, \$m
BELLEVER	Series A	55
Riftweaver	Seed round	12
MIDNIGHT	Seed round	11

#### Early-stage Gaming Activity



### Most Active VC Gaming Funds for Q1'23

Note: (1) based on the internal weighted average ranking system (see p. 14);
(2) based on investments in Gaming with the disclosed deal value (no Web3 gaming deals included)

	Rank¹	Venture Capital Fund	Deals	Deal Value <sup>2</sup> \$m	Lead Deals	Lead Deals Value <sup>2</sup> \$m	Select Lead Deals		
By number of deals									
ed	1	Andreessen Horowitz (a16z)	9	177	7	95	CCP Games, Azra Games		
	2	Makers Fund	7	66.4	5	26.4	Genpop Interactive, Strider		
	3	BITKRAFT Ventures	7	150	2		Jungle, Avalon Corp		
}	4	Gem Capital	5	14	5	14	Order of Meta, Gameram		
	5	Play Ventures	5	30	4	20	Redemption Games, Scenario		
	6	Griffin Gaming Partners	3	20.6	3	20.6	Grand-Attic, Hardball Games, Nexus		
	7	Hiro Capital	3	14	2	8	Telltale Games		
	8	Lumikai	3	10	2	7.4	BuyStars		
	9	vgames	2	7	2	7	PeerPlay		
	10	Sisu Game Ventures	2	6.6	2	6.6	Unagi		
	By deal	By deals value							
	1	Andreessen Horowitz (a16z)	9	177	7	95	CCP Games, Azra Games		
	2	Makers Fund	7	66.4	5	26.4	Genpop Interactive, Strider		
	3	Lightspeed Ventures	1	55	1	55	Believer Entertainment		
	4	BITKRAFT Ventures	7	150	2		Jungle, Avalon Corp		
	5	Griffin Gaming Partners	3	20.6	3	20.6	Grand-Attic, Hardball Games, Nexus		
	6	Play Ventures	5	30	4	20	Redemption Games, Scenario		
	7	Sequoia Capital	3	20	1		Mayhem Studios		
	8	Gem Capital	5	14	5	14	Order of Meta, Gameram		
	9	Hiro Capital	3	14	2	8	Telltale Games		
	10	1Up Ventures	2	61.5	n/a		n/a		

#### WHITE LABEL PR

MEVC

**TaylorWessing** 

ili investgame

## Late-stage Deals: uncertain year ahead

— Late-stage investment activity continues to struggle, what with the bearish public markets and slowdown in the M&A activity, with only 2 closed deals happening.

— In the upcoming quarters, Late-stage negative trend will most likely continue with a small number of deals to happen, due to high checks, plus the potential problems to raise expected amounts.

Target	Deal Type	Deal Size, \$m
CCP	Series B+	40
Carry1st	Series B	27

#### **Late-stage Gaming Activity**




## Corporate: attractive valuations to drive growth

— In Q1'23, Corporate activity in gaming is almost on the same level as it was the year prior; however, the majority of the deals sizes were not disclosed.

— Based on the current market conditions and relative silence from the key strategic investors, we consider that in the upcoming quarters, overall Corporate activity will be more dynamic in both the deals value and count.



#### **Corporate Gaming Activity**



# Appendix

investgame


### Methodology & Glossary

InvestGame tracks closed transactions (unless otherwise noted) in the Video Games industry, with target companies having core business operations related to the Video Games market. Please note that we do not track pure gambling, betting, and non-gaming blockchain/Web3 companies.

The private data contained in this report is based on information from sources believed to be reliable, but accuracy and completeness cannot be guaranteed. Sources include public media, our business partners, data provider S&P Capital IQ, and market insights.

The information, opinions, estimates, and forecasts contained herein are as of the date hereof and are subject to change without prior notification. We seek to update our research as appropriate.


#### **Deal Types Overview**



#### Deal Type Terms Glossary

- Control M&As—mergers and acquisitions resulting in the change of control
- Minority M&As—sale of a minority stake
- Series A rounds with a lead VC fund
- and later-lettered venture rounds
- Corporate Investments—investments with a lead investor being corporation
- IPOs—the process of company going public including IPOs, SPACs, and direct
- Fixed-income—debt-related instrument with fixed payments and interest payments
- equity, direct share issue, and other transactions with publicly traded stock

#### Target's Sector Overview



WHITE LABEL PRI

#### **VC Ratings Calculation**

For both the Deals Number and Deal Value lists, we prioritize as follows:

60%—lead deals number / value: 40%—total number / value of deals.

Since the funds do not usually disclose publicly their individual participation in a particular round (even if some occasionally do), we do not take into account the exact cuts. We prioritise the overall number and the sum of the deals while still placing importance on the value and the count of lead deals.

TaylorWessing

*ili* investgame

MEVE

### Disclaimer

### investgame

This report is intended for general information purposes only and is educational in nature; it is not a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any financial instruments, or to participate in any particular trading strategy. Nothing in this document constitutes a personal recommendation, legal, or other professional advice.

You agree that you shall not copy, revise, amend, create a derivative work, provide to any third party, or in any way commercially exploit any InvestGame research, and that you shall not reproduce data in any form or by any means, without the prior written consent of InvestGame.

This document is for distribution only, as may be permitted by applicable local laws. It is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or would subject InvestGame to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

We would love to thank our dear friends at MGVC, Taylor Wessing, and White Label PR for supporting this Report. Please note that this support did not in any way affect the integrity or fairness of the data, and the analysis presented.

#### MEVC

**TaylorWessing** 

WHITE LABEL PR